

CLARE E. CONNORS 7936  
Attorney General, State of Hawai‘i  
CRAIG Y. IHA 7919  
RYAN K. P. KANAKAOLE 9613  
Deputy Attorneys General  
Department of the Attorney  
General, State of Hawai‘i  
425 Queen Street  
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96813  
Telephone: (808) 587-2978  
Facsimile: (808) 586-1372  
Email: [ryan.kp.kanakaole@hawaii.gov](mailto:ryan.kp.kanakaole@hawaii.gov)

ASHFORD & WRISTON  
A Limited Liability Law Partnership LLP  
KEVIN W. HERRING 6722-0  
999 Bishop Street  
First Hawaiian Center, 14th Floor  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Telephone: (808) 539-0440  
Facsimile: (808) 533-4945  
Email: [kherring@awlaw.com](mailto:kherring@awlaw.com)

Attorneys for the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands,  
State of Hawai‘i

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

In re  
PANIOLA CABLE COMPANY, LLC  
Debtor.

) Case No. 18-01319 (RJF)  
 ) (Chapter 11)  
 )  
 ) DEPARTMENT OF HAWAIIAN  
 ) HOME LANDS' MEMORANDUM  
 ) IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF  
 ) HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC. TO  
 ) ENFORCE SALE ORDER (DKT.  
 ) #459); DECLARATION OF  
 ) WILLIAM J. AILA, JR.;

- ) DECLARATION OF KEVIN W.
- ) HERRING; EXHIBITS “1” – “2”
- )
- ) Hearing
- ) Date: November 1, 2021
- ) Time: 2:00 p.m.
- ) Judge: Hon. Robert J. Faris
- )
- ) [Related Docket Entry: Dkt. #459]

---

**DEPARTMENT OF HAWAIIAN HOME LANDS’  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF  
HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC. TO ENFORCE SALE ORDER (DKT. #459)**

Pursuant to LBR 9013-2, the State of Hawai‘i, Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (“DHHL”) submits this Memorandum in Support of the Motion of Hawaiian Telcom, Inc. to Enforce Sale Order (Dkt. #459) (the “Motion”).

**I. SIC’s Campaign of Obstruction Impairs the Paniolo Network and Threatens to Disrupt Essential Services to DHHL Beneficiaries**

As set forth in the Motion, Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc. (“SIC”) and related parties have engaged in “a campaign of obstruction, frustration and delay” which has impaired Hawaiian Telcom, Inc.’s (“HT”) ability to efficiently secure and operate the Transferred Assets, which include the Paniolo Network.

*See Motion*, p.2. Significant portions of the Paniolo Network are physically located on lands owned by DHHL.

“DHHL is responsible for managing the Hawaiian home lands for the benefit of native Hawaiians under the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920, as

amended. Thousands of families reside on the Hawaiian home lands, which are comprised of approximately 203,000 acres[.]” *In re Connect America Fund; Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.*, Federal Communications Commission, WC Docket No. 10-90; CC Docket No. 96-45 (FCC 17-85, July 3, 2017) (the “2017 FCC Order”) (Exhibit “1”).

The Paniolo Network provides “middle-mile” telecommunications services which, among other things, link up to “last mile” infrastructure operated by SIC. SIC’s last mile infrastructure, in turn, provides critical telecommunications services to DHHL, DHHL’s beneficiaries, and DHHL’s lessees throughout the State of Hawaii.

As set forth in the Motion, contemporaneously with HT’s court-confirmed acquisition of the Paniolo Network, HT requested that SIC provide “basic network and usage information”. Motion, p.4. In addition, HT requested that SIC enter into a contract covering SIC’s continued use of middle mile services through the Paniolo Network. Id. SIC did not provide the requested information and did not “seriously engage in any negotiations” concerning its continued use of the Paniolo Network. Id. at 5.

Instead, DHHL is informed that HT has been unable to obtain SIC’s cooperation in surrendering possession of certain assets and SIC has refused to provide information about the configuration and operation of the network. *See*

Declaration of William J. Aila, Jr., ¶2. It is DHHL’s further understanding that SIC is not paying for the middle-mile services that are now provided by HT to SIC through the Paniolo Network. *See id.*

## **II. SIC Has Publicly Stated that the Dispute with HT will Affect Services**

Beginning on or about September 11, 2021, SIC began publicly stating that because of its dispute with HT, “phone, internet and/or video services” to DHHL and DHHL’s beneficiaries and lessees would be impacted. These statements appear on the SIC website at [www.sandwichisles.com](http://www.sandwichisles.com). Id. at ¶3. (Exhibit “2”). The actions of SIC were a source of great concern, because it appeared that SIC was incapable of providing reasonable assurances that it would be willing and able to continue providing reliable, uninterrupted telecommunications services.

As a result of SIC’s actions, DHHL and its Commissioners have received numerous “communications from beneficiaries and lessees concerned about the possibility that SIC will cease to provide services due to its dispute with HT.” Id. at ¶9. The uncertainty created by SIC’s intransigence is untenable and unreasonable. Telecommunications services are essential and critical, particularly in remote locations. The essential nature of these services has been amplified by our community’s recent experience with the COVID-19 pandemic. “[T]elephone, internet and other broadband services are critical for the delivery of education,

health, financial and other services necessary to the well-being of DHHL’s beneficiary population.” Id. at ¶5.

DHHL believes that it “is imperative that SIC cooperate with HT and other carriers to ensure that there is no disruption in services and to facilitate reasonable shared access to critical infrastructure.” Id. at ¶9 Given SIC’s actions, it appears that such cooperation will not occur absent further Court action. Moreover, absent further Court action it appears likely that the integrity and value of the Paniolo Network will be impaired.

SIC appears to believe that its refusal to cooperate is justified because it claims to be the “exclusive” provider of broadband telecommunications services on the Home Lands. SIC’s argument is predicated on its interest under a license that was issued on May 9, 1995 (“License 372”). In 2017, however, the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) issued an order determining that all claims of exclusivity were preempted by Federal law and therefore unenforceable. *See 2017 FCC Order* at 12.

### **III. Court Action is Required to Address What the Trustee has Identified as Clear and Present Danger**

DHHL’s concerns about SIC’s actions are not unique. In fact, the Trustee in this action has stated that SIC’s actions “represent a **clear and present danger** to the operations, safety and security of the Paniolo Cable Network.” (Dkt. 425 at 12-13.)(emphasis added).

Given the foregoing, HT's request for relief is imminently reasonable.

DHHL, in particular, supports HT's request to require SIC to:

1. provide information about the identity of all users of the Paniolo Network, including Critical Services Users. *See Motion*, p.20 (bullet points 1 and 2);
2. identify 911 Circuits and circuits designated as Telecommunication Service Priority. *Id.* (bullet points 3 and 4);
3. provide reasonable assurances that they will not take unilateral action to terminate Critical Services without reasonable notice. *Id.* (bullet point 5);
4. provide documentation of their conduit occupancy requirements on the Paniolo Network. *Id.* (bullet point 7).

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, October 8, 2021.

CLARE E. CONNORS  
Attorney General, State of Hawaii

CRAIG Y. IHA  
RYAN K.P. KANAKAOLE

/s/ Kevin W. Herring  
KEVIN W. HERRING

Attorneys for the Department of  
Hawaiian Home Lands, State of Hawai'i